

Energy Litigation: When Understanding Becomes the Advantage

By Jeff Levin, JD, Strategy Advisor

Energy litigation rarely suffers from a lack of information. By the time a dispute reaches trial, the record may include years of operational data, technical reports, contracts, field records, regulatory filings, and expert analyses. Yet outcomes often hinge on something much simpler: whether decision-makers can understand how the evidence fits together.


In many cases, both sides have access to similar facts. The difference is not who presents the most information, but who gives that information structure. When jurors can follow the connections between events, decisions, and consequences, they are better equipped to evaluate the evidence as intended. When those connections remain unclear, even strong facts can lose their persuasive force.

Complexity Does Not Have to Cause Confusion

Energy disputes often require jurors to evaluate unfamiliar concepts while weighing competing narratives about responsibility, causation, or damages. They may be asked to understand drilling operations, production history, pipeline activity, equipment performance, contractual obligations, or technical decision-making that occurred years before litigation began.

The challenge is not merely helping jurors absorb information but also helping them organize that information into a framework they can use to answer the questions before them. If key relationships are difficult to follow, jurors may fill gaps with assumptions or gravitate toward the narrative that feels easier to understand. In that environment, the risk is not that a fact will be overlooked, but rather that the evidence will be interpreted differently than counsel intended.

Understanding Shapes Credibility



An executive's testimony can seem inconsistent when a timeline is difficult to follow.

Comprehension influences more than factual recall. It can also affect how jurors evaluate witnesses, experts, and arguments presented throughout the case. A well-supported expert opinion may appear less convincing if jurors are forced to juggle too many disconnected concepts. An executive's testimony can seem inconsistent when a timeline is difficult to follow. A carefully constructed damages analysis may invite skepticism if the underlying assumptions are not clear and accessible.

When both parties present qualified experts and plausible interpretations, credibility often depends on which side provides the clearest framework for understanding the dispute. Jurors tend to place greater confidence in arguments they can readily connect to the evidence before them.

Building Clarity Before Trial

Creating that framework should begin long before anyone enters the courtroom. The most effective visual strategies are often developed during case preparation, when litigation teams are still testing themes, refining expert opinions, and evaluating how decision-makers may interpret the evidence.

Interactive maps can bring together wells, pipelines, facilities, contracts, events, and supporting documents within a single geographic context. Custom 3D animations can demonstrate drilling sequences, equipment failures, construction processes, or other technical events that are difficult to explain through testimony alone.

These tools do more than communicate a case. They can also help attorneys, experts, and clients identify inconsistencies, uncover gaps in the narrative, and evaluate competing assumptions before trial. Combined with targeted jury research, visual development provides an opportunity to test whether the intended story is the one decision-makers actually absorb.

Visuals Should Support Decisions

The most effective trial demonstratives are not necessarily the most sophisticated. Their value lies in helping jurors answer the questions they must decide. That may involve illustrating how a well was planned and operated, connecting production trends to key events, mapping field activity against contractual obligations, or clarifying the sequence of decisions that led to a disputed outcome. In other situations, a straightforward chronology, side-by-side comparison, or focused callout may be more effective than a complex animation.

The objective is not to create visuals for their own sake. It is to help jurors understand the evidence in a way that is accurate, efficient, and relevant to the issues they must resolve.

The Structural Advantage

In high-stakes energy disputes, information alone does not determine the outcome. What matters is whether decision-makers can see how the facts connect, why the evidence matters, and how it supports a particular conclusion. Strong evidence can be weakened by uncertainty, fragmented by complexity, or overshadowed by a more accessible competing narrative. Conversely, when technical records, expert analyses, and key events are organized into a coherent framework, jurors are better positioned to evaluate the merits of the case.

The goal is not to eliminate complexity; energy litigation will always involve technical and multifaceted issues. The goal is to transform that complexity into a structure decision-makers can follow. In many cases, that clarity becomes a meaningful strategic advantage.

Turning Complexity into a Coherent Case

Our graphics team works with counsel from early case assessment through trial to develop interactive maps, 3D animations, and case timelines that help decision-makers understand complex evidence. IMS pairs these solutions with strategy consulting that organizes complex facts into a cohesive narrative, mock trials that test case themes, and presentation technology expertise that ensures those themes are delivered effectively in the courtroom. Together, our services help energy litigation teams give technical evidence the structure it needs for XYZ understanding. Contact us today to discuss your matter.

IMS Legal Strategies is a trusted partner to law firms and corporations worldwide, providing the expertise and technology needed to solve complex challenges and position disputes for the best possible outcomes. Our integrated consulting and expert witness solutions span the full litigation lifecycle, helping clients develop informed strategies and present cohesive narratives. We maintain rigorous procedures to protect confidentiality, expert credibility, and case integrity.

The IMS team brings decades of experience in more than 70,000 cases and 6,500 trials. Together, we win. **Visit imslegal.com for more.**